

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/703,162	10/31/2000	Benjamin M. Cahill III	INTL-0438-US-(P9450)	9745
21906 75	590 11/03/2003		EXAMINER	
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I	
8554 KATY FR SUITE 100	REEWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, T	X 77024		2674	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			alk
2'	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/703,162	CAHILL, BENJAMIN	N M.
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apper Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repict ich places the application.	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Solution 136(a) and the appropriate extended the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final rejo	even if timely filed,	may reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b			
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE:	•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:		\wedge I A	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		11-11-111	
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	ordveli by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	TRN 0 1	
10. Other:		RICHARD NUTTIPE	on the particular of the parti
	SUPER	CHYCLOC CTORE	д Нестон (4) Достон (5)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reason set forth in the last office action. Specifically, the examiner maintains that Cheung's (USPN 6538656) teaching about a filtering block (98) for performing anti flutter filtering along with a functions of video scaler (104) satisfy the desired method of adjusting a flicker filter. Furthermore, Cheung discloses (Fig. 30) blending video windows (964) and graphic windows (968) such that alpha blending each window with a window behind it is possible and the alpha value can be adjusted for every pixel, wherein by controlling the alpha blend function, one can reduce flutter effect. See col. 50, lines 64-67.